

Easy Accurate Transfer of the Sculpted Soft Tissue Contours to the Working Cast: A Clinical Tip

Shantanu S. Jambhekar · Mohit G. Kheur ·
Jay Matani · Sumit Sethi

Received: 30 December 2013 / Accepted: 16 March 2014 / Published online: 4 April 2014
© Indian Prosthodontic Society 2014

Abstract Tooth replacement in the esthetic zone presents a myriad of challenges for the clinician. An ovate pontic accurately duplicates the emergence profile of the natural tooth it replaces in order to provide an esthetic, yet cleansable prosthesis. The accurate transfer of this sculpted tissue beneath the pontic of the provisional restoration is critical to provide the dental laboratory technician with the necessary information to fabricate a definitive restoration with an appropriate emergence profile. This article presents an innovative, simple and convenient impression technique for easy and accurate transfer of the tissue contours to the working cast, avoiding tissue collapse and tissue compression produced due to the impression material.

Keywords Ovate pontics · Impression · Sculpted soft tissue contours

Tooth replacement in the esthetic zone presents a myriad of challenges for the clinician. Esthetic success depends upon how the prosthesis emerges from and the extent to which it harmonizes with the surrounding tissues. A pontic should accurately duplicate the emergence profile of the natural tooth it replaces in order to provide an esthetic, yet cleansable prosthesis.

The ovate pontic was first described by Dewey and Zugsmith in 1933 [1]. The design was intended to form a concave soft tissue outline in the site of the alveolar ridge mucosa and allows for an excellent esthetic outcome [1–3]. They have been utilized in posterior or anterior quadrants of the oral cavity with equal success [1].

The tissues are sculpted by using provisional restorations with pontic design that provides for tissue guidance and stabilization [3].

The accurate transfer of this sculpted tissue beneath the pontic of the provisional restoration is critical to provide the laboratory technician with the necessary information to fabricate a definitive restoration with an appropriate emergence profile [4–10].

Irrespective of the technique utilized, the viscosity of impression material may record the sculpted soft tissues in an altered state.. [3]. Further, the collapse of the tissue due to removal of provisional restoration may magnify this problem, thereby leading to inaccurate information being communicated to the laboratory.

This article presents an innovative, simple and convenient impression technique for easy and accurate transfer of the tissue contours to the working cast, avoiding tissue collapse and tissue compression produced due to the impression material.

S. S. Jambhekar
Department of Reconstructive Sciences, Division
of Prosthodontics, School of Dental Medicine, University
of Connecticut, Farmington, CT, USA

S. S. Jambhekar
Department of Prosthodontics, Terna Dental College, Nerul,
Navi Mumbai, India

S. S. Jambhekar (✉)
Opp. Mumbai Veterinary College, 1603-Datta Tower,
Dr. Walimbe Marg, Parel Village, Mumbai 400012, India
e-mail: shantanujambhekar@gmail.com

M. G. Kheur · J. Matani
Department of Prosthodontics, M A Rangoonwala College
of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Pune, Country

S. Sethi
Department of Prosthodontics, Bhojai Dental College and
Hospital, Tehsil Baddi, Himachal Pradesh, India

Technique

1. Remove the provisional restoration that is used to develop the desired emergence profile of the pontic area [2] (Fig. 1).
2. Use a conventional impression technique to obtain a definitive cast that will allow the dental laboratory technician to fabricate the Porcelain Fused to Metal Fixed Dental Prosthesis framework
3. Evaluate the framework intraorally (Fig. 2). Resin (GC Pattern Resin; GC America, Inc, Alsip, Ill) is applied beneath the pontic on the framework with the bead-brush technique, to record the ovate pontic contours (Fig. 3). Using the customized framework, a pick up technique is described in the following steps.
4. Fabricate a custom tray with light-polymerizing material (Triad; Dentsply Intl, York, Pa). Inject low viscosity addition silicone impression material (Aquasil LV, Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE) over the tissue



Fig. 1 Sculpted ovate pontic sites



Fig. 2 Metal framework trial



Fig. 3 Relining with pattern resin

5. Pour the cast with type IV dental stone (Ultra rock; Kalabhai, India) to create a working model with accurately transferred soft tissue contours (Figs. 8, 9, 10, 11).



Fig. 4 Impression technique




Fig. 5 Impression technique




Fig. 6 Impression technique



Fig. 7 Impression technique




Fig. 8 Master cast



Fig. 9 Definitive restorations with ovate pontics



Fig. 10 Definitive restorations



Fig. 11 Definitive restorations at 1 year recall

Summary

Various techniques have been described to record the developed ovate pontic site contours. These techniques involve modification of master casts to replicate the contours [4, 9, 10].

The technique described in this report utilizes pattern resin (GC Pattern Resin; GC America, Inc, Alsip, Ill) intraorally to record the soft tissue contours, thus a more detailed record of the soft tissue profile is obtained than other laboratory based techniques. This simple technique enables to precisely capture, verify and transfer to the definitive cast the molded ovate pontic site in the same position as it is with the provisional restoration. This facilitates the dental ceramist's ability to design and fabricate an ovate pontic with adequate intaglio contours. This technique could also be utilized for implant supported fixed dental prosthesis in the esthetic zone.

Conflict of interest All authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Dylina TJ (1999) Contour determination for ovate pontics. *J Prosthet Dent* 82(2):136–142
2. Jacques LB, Coelho AB, Hollweg H, Conti PC (1999) Tissue sculpturing: an alternative method for improving esthetics of anterior fixed prosthodontics. *J Prosthet Dent* 81(5):630–633
3. Edelhoff D, Spiekermann H, Yildirim M (2002) A review of esthetic pontic design options. *Quintessence Int* 33(10):736–746
4. de Vasconcellos DK, Volpato CÂ, Zani IM, Bottino MA (2011) Impression technique for ovate pontics. *J Prosthet Dent* 105(1):59–61
5. Garber DA, Rosenberg ES (1981) The edentulous ridge in fixed prosthodontics. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2(4):212–223
6. Tripodakis A, Constantinides A (1990) Tissue response under from convex pontics. *Int J Periodontics Restor Dent* 10(5):408–414
7. Pameijer JH (1989) Soft tissue master cast for esthetic control in crown and bridge procedures. *J Esthet Dent* 1(2):47–50
8. Chee WW, Cho GC, Ha S (1997) Replicating soft tissue contours on working casts for implant restorations. *J Prosthodont* 6(3):218–220

9. Chee WWL, Cho GC, Ikoma MM, Arcidiacono A (1999) A technique to replicate soft tissues around fixed restoration pontics on working casts. *J Prosthodont* 8(1):44–46
10. Ferencz JL (1991) Maintaining and enhancing gingival architecture in fixed prosthodontics. *J Prosthet Dent* 65(5):650–657